

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/635,611	08/07/2003	Yoshihito Asao	Q76555	9608		
23373	7590 01/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	MION, PLLC	PHAM, LEDA T				
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037		2834			

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/635,6		ASAO ET AL.	(8W)			
Office Action Summary		Examine		Art Unit				
		Leda T. P	ham	2834				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	fress			
THE - Exte after - If the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per	DN. R 1.136(a). In no ev n. a reply within the stat	ent, however, may a reply be tin	nely filed s will be considered timely.	mmunication			
- Failu Any	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si reply received by the Office later than three months after the ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tatute, cause the app	lication to become ABANDONE	D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 December 2	<u>004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 3	This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-10 is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	rawn from cons						
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exan The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 August 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corthe oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ acce the drawing(s) t rrection is requir	be held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date 8/7/03.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		-152)			

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1 - 2 in Paper filed on 12/23/04 is acknowledged.

Since Applicant did not provide any traversal arguments to the restriction requirement, the response is considered as election without traverse; therefore, the election/restriction is made FINAL.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the abutting surfaces" lacks of antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 –2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rich (U.S. Patent No. 4,102,040) in view of Huang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,382,859).

Referring to claim 1, Rich teaches a stator comprising:

a cylindrical core (figure 8);

a slots (3) that is provided around an inner circumferential face of the cylindrical core (figure 8) and including an opening portion (the space between the adjacent tooth tips); and a coil disposed in the slots (inherently, coils are wound around teeth 2),

wherein a portion with a lower radial crushing strength is provided in at least apart of the iron core in a circumferential direction (lines 47 – 52, column 9). However, Rich fails to teach the cylindrical core is iron core.

Huang teaches a stator having a cylindrical iron core for reducing the eddy current losses in the electric motor.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the stator with a cylindrical iron core as taught by Huang. Doing so would reduce the eddy current losses in an electric motor. Also, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material in the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin 125 USPQ 416*.

Referring to claim 2, the combination of Rich and Huang teaches the stator wherein the stator is produced by disposing the coil (92, in Huang reference) in a strip iron core (20) provided with the slots (26) including an opening portion (tooth tips), and forming the iron core in the shape of an annulus ring (figure 8 of Rich reference), in which the abutting surfaces of the iron core are partially welded (lines 47 – 52, column 9).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leda T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2032. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) first Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,611 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leda T. Pham Examiner Art Unit 2834

LTP January 19, 2005

> DARREN SCHUBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800